



# **Impact of House Financing Accessibility on the Productivity of Private-Public Relationships in Mortgage Financing Conditions**

Muhammad Saeed Iqbal <sup>1</sup>\*,Sofi Mohd Fikri <sup>2</sup>,Asma Imtiaz <sup>3</sup>,Hassan Dauda Yahaya <sup>4</sup>

- Department of Islamic Banking and Finance Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), Malaysia
- Department of Islamic Banking and Finance Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), Malaysia
  - Department of Management & BA University of Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
  - Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business, Universiti of Utara, Malaysia
  - Correspondence:m\_saeed\_iqbal@cob.uum.edu.my

Abstract: The study demonstrated that public-private relations (PPR) are a practical tool in providing affordable housing. Specifically, this paper seeks to gain a better understanding of how contractual finance and housing acceptability influence how public-private relations (PPR) are presented in housing frameworks. We collected data from randomly selected renters in three housing units in the PPR domain using a quantitative approach. We received more than 200 questionnaires from consumers. According to the results, the acceptability of house financing and mortgage financing conditions (MFC) influenced 63% of the distribution of PPR choices in Pakistan. Inclusion of accessibility (HFA). Based on the regression analysis, housing accessibility and house financing accessibility (HFA) had a significant impact on suggested PPR mortgage conditions. As a result of the accessibility of housing, PPR mortgage conditions can be presented in a better way by 97.5%, while accepting contract money adversely impacts PPR's productivity under mortgage financing conditions (MFC), resulting in an excess of 8.8% productivity. Consequently, since PPR is a technique for house financing accessibility (HFA) that is significantly dependent on housing justification, and acceptance of mortgage conditions negatively impacts the show of PPR, it could be concluded that residents were given overall value when deciding which PPR house units to buy because they were reasonable. The research indicates that the government should design conditions that allow PPR consumers to present accessible housing units to the general public. Due to this, PPR will be able to prove itself as a true plan for public housing, and knowledge of Pakistan will reduce house shortages.

Keywords: House Financing Accessibility, Private-Public Relationship, Mortgage Financing Condition

Publisher's Note: JCBIF neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and

institutional affiliations.

Received: March, 2023

Accepted: December, 2023

Published: December, 2023

stavs



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/bv/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Globally, housing is distributed through a variety of channels to meet the needs of people seeking a safe haven. State governments contributed actively to the protection of their citizens before many economies developed. Often, this is achieved through the direct and open creation of accessible housing and public housing. As industrialization grows, direct government housing arrangements become inadequate and unreasonable (Liu et al., 2014). Meanwhile, there are many private agreements pertaining to housing arrangements.

These include improvements to exclusively private housing, agreeable housing, assembly and assistance strategies, and most recently, private-public relationships. Different housing techniques can be completely open or private, distinct from the PPR scheme, and, therefore, have different limitations. It is possible for PPR to find an agreement between a highly transparent strategy and a completely private method of action. As a result, it may be considered a productive alternative to current housing issues in the world today (Amann, 2010; Kutuma, 2017 & Iqbal et al., 2023).

The concept of Public-Private Relationships (PPR) refers to establishing connections between public sector and private sector institutions with the aim of establishing a department in the public sector. When the public sector and the private sector work together, a certain task can be completed, and the public can be assisted. It usually takes a long time for these strategies to be implemented. PPR could include many types of long-haul contracts, including many risky distributions, financing strategies, and transparency requirements, according to Hodge et al. (2017). Standard housing systems in Pakistan are adequate to meet the demands of the population as a whole, as are those in other non-industrialized countries. Approximately twenty to twenty-five million housing units are in shortage, which demonstrates the problem. According to Goussard (2015), 75% of the country's revenue comes from natural petroleum, the most significant source of substantial income (Goussard, 2015). Consequently, if the management does not invest in private housing, the people will not be able to meet all of their housing needs (Amann, 2010; Eziyi et al., 2012).

The public-private relationship (PPR) approach has been shown to be highly persuasive, inventive, and somewhat economical in high-level countries (Tagliaro, 2014). It should be noted, however, that the results differ in most agricultural nations (Bolaji, 2017; Sani et al., 2018). According to Eziyi et al. (2011), Jiya et al. (2018), Sani et al. (2018), Trangkanont (2014), and Charoenngam (2014), the PPR housing project is comparatively appalling, inadequate, and expensive. Studies (Olanrele et al., 2019; Shaqra, Badarulzaman, and Roosli, 2015; Sobuza, 2010) indicate that the majority of PPP lodging plans are overly extreme and outside the reach of short-paying employees. There is a negative impact on housing accessibility as a result of this.

The ability to afford housing without negatively affecting one's ability to fulfill other regular needs is called a housing regulation, according to Kutuma (2017); Murphy (2014). A house's exorbitance increases as its cost increases, affecting its ability to be sold. Consequently, increasing exorbitance deters demand, reducing housing supply (Gandhi, 2012; Tsai et al., 2012 & Iqbal et al., 2023).

The Bahawalpur state government employed housing developers in conjunction with secret organizations, as reported by Jiya et al. (2018). The strategies produced a variety of house designs, both professional accomplishments, and experiments. Therefore, this study is to estimate how the convenience of houses and access to credit affects the distribution of PPR housing choices in Bahawalpur, Pakistan.

The purpose of this study is to determine how mortgage finance transparency and housing accessibility affect the demonstration of Private-Public Relationships (PPR) housing strategies.

#### 2. Literature review

## 2.1 House Financing Accessibility

A financial productivity distribution technique called house financing accessibility (HFA) compares several types of representatives in order to deliver three main activities: subsidizing, lending, and reforming property construction. Housing finance accessibility (EHA) is one of the most important factors in producing and delivering housing, and other factors may also be acquired with enough money (Okpala, 1994).

A key part of the global banking Islamic sector comprehensive productivity area policy is the house financing accessibility (EHA) sector, according to IFC (2008), which is directly and strongly connected with the overall goal of reducing shortages while raising existing standards. According to Lopez-Silva et al. (2001), the house finance accessibility (EHA) sector in Mexico is studied based on factors such as sector and productivity, housing property and possession, housing results, and accessibility to an eternally interconnected economy. Over the next ten years, the house finance accessibility (EHA) industry is expected to create 3.3 million jobs, equivalent to 1.3 times the rate of productivity development in any country (WBG, 2008). A variety of methods are available for mortgage financing, which has been around for quite some time. Organizational and non-institutional resources of investment for businesses.

## 2.2 Mortgage Financing Condition

The agreement initially referred to a legal pledge. In a Musharakah agreement, if the person to whom the financing was issued does not repay the debt, the pledge expires and the property serving as security in the transaction is disposed of or discontinued.

Typically, contract financing is a long-term mortgage that is provided by a legitimate local economic institute, such as a property development association with experience in real estate, which assesses mortgages on the basis of confirmed models (Ferguson 1999). When the mortgage fails, the financial institution has possession, but the mortgage user has the option of selling the property to recover the financing. Property guarantee offered on a conditional basis. Below is a diagram showing the house mortgage presentation:

Mortgage terms have facilitated mortgage access for a long time, especially in developed nations, where short-term and average-income individuals have dominated. However, house mortgages are still not widely recognized, and they are not readily accessible. The cancellation of the hypothecation eligibility rates has mainly caused the distribution of property to small hypothecation-eligible countries that are in dire need of funds. With significant employment opportunities and limited resources available, the accessibility gap in countries with the least access to mortgage finance is growing (WBG, 2016).

Furthermore, an Islamic method of house financing accessibility (EHA) is used in contract support. Many researchers have recognized and debated the institutional norms by which Islamic house mortgage finance manages housing financing.

#### 2.3 Mortgage Accessibility

A mortgage client must meet a set of legally enforceable conditions in order to obtain contract assistance to purchase a property from a contract funding organization. Transactions move from one nation to another, as well as from one banking system to another. It is determined by the supporting structure whether a financial institution will offer financing (Ojo et al., 2008). Ismail et al. (2014) cite the following factors when choosing a bank to provide home financing: the type of management provided, financing cost, credit amount, previous relationships, location, low line, satisfaction period, and guidance. Reputation, control quality, strict trust, media promotion, and social impact are the key factors for Islamic house financing accessibility (IHFA).

## 2.4 Accessibility Housing

It is important to note that the impact of accessibility to housing varies among academics. Providing quality mid-range housing to regular-wage employees in the community as a whole and ensuring that their salaries can support reimbursement over an extended time frame without compromising other life necessities is the height of acceptable housing. As Evans et al. (2007) suggest, the government should encourage architects to design innovative, reasonable housing or renovate adult housing developments, and then suggest housing at prices that are reasonable for the community for people whose salaries are a positive ratio below the mean salary.

Policymakers should consider expenses associated with the house, household income, and mortgage financing costs in three layers, as defined by (Trimbath et al., 2002; Iqbal et al., 2023).

A reduction in the total area that is used for living can make houses more accessible in some countries. Based on Zihun Zhou's (2015) analysis, the nation's average normal living area decreased from 4.50 to 3.60 m2 between 1952 and 1978. In the US, the phrase "Housing" "is used to portray housing, whether leased or proprietor-linked, that is affordable regardless of what one's pay is." According to accessibilityhousingonline.com's sixth month of 2019 data, the Pakistani government considers lodging costs appropriate when they are at or below 30% of an individual's income.

It was stated by the indicator leader in 2016 that reasonable rental housing in the UK shouldn't cost more than 80% of the average near-market rent; if a house purchase is being offered, it must be at a cost level where the contract installments on the property are higher than what would be paid under the lease on collected housing, but below market value. Moreover, the nobles of the Cause for Housing argued that suitable housing shouldn't cost more than 35% of a family's income after benefits and salary.

According to the report, suitable housing in 2018 is defined as a house or building constructed with some financial support at a price that implies people can afford other necessities after housing costs. Due to overuse, the term "reasonable" has become linked with people's purchases of services other than advertising.

2.5 Variables Impact Housing Accessibility

As found by Nwuba et al. (2015), family pay, reserve finances, development period, and training level all play a key role in determining the affordability of housing in Pakistan's urban real estate markets. When Yang et al. (2011) researched the denationalization of society housing, they found that moderation depended on family structure, academic achievement, and economic status.

Gab et al. (2018) report that pay, property costs, land costs, demand, and supply are all factors impacting the moderation of housing in Malaysia. Raphael et al. (2018) find that a family's financial productivity, property credits, advanced attributes, and overall economic climate play an important role in their access to housing. Kenyan housing accessibility is naturally affected by factors such as financing costs on contracts, families' ward dimensions, advance-to-proportion value proportions, types of mortgage objects, variety of relationships, paid employees, and real GDP per capita.

The National Housing Property, which offers the lowest financing cost in Pakistan terms of 6%, is hampered by operational problems, including the inability to reimburse accessible Housing Assistance use financing due to unacceptable situations. Significant Mortgage Banks are incapable of providing sufficient security to existing mortgage developments, and residence financing supports postponement and powerlessness (Bichi, 2002; Fortune et al., 2004 & Chiomuna, 2000).

2.6 Private-Public Relationships Housing

As Yunusa (2013) states, Pakistan, Malaysia, and Indonesia have independently demonstrated that they provide low-wage employees with housing through private-public partnerships. Public housing associations and neighborhood-based organizations have been successful in providing low-wage workers with housing in urban regions, and this successful strategy contributed significantly to the growth of access to housing (UN-Territory, UNON Press 2007).



Source: Yauhang et al. (2018) Figure 2: Diagram link of a private-public relationship purpose

Figure 1:Diagram link of a Private-Public relationship purpose

The private-public relationship (PPR) can be arranged in several ways. According to Bauxbaim et al. (2009), these include Configuration Bid-Fabricate, Strategies Assemble, Public Contract Charge Management, Assist Contract, Development Management in Risk, Strategies Focus with Assurance, Strategy Develop Focus Keep Up With, Strategy Build Work on the Move, Strategy Select Banking and Finance, Strategy Select Finance Work, Strategy Select Finance Work, Concessions Choose Own-Operate, and Information Select the Deal.

Liang et al. (2019) note that concessionaires can select from a variety of contract types, including Build Own Work (BOW), Establish Perform Move (EPM), Select Own Work Move (SOW), Strategies Build Work (SBW), Strategies Build-Funded Work (SBFW), and variations. Analysis of project completion using Private-Public Relationships (PPR).

The Canadian Committee for Private-Public Relationships (PPR) identified the following methods of public-private relationship project conveyance: privatization, concession, constructing own-work moves, strategy, creating, funding, and carrying out, organizing the finances and the work, contracting, finance just, strategy construct; finance work, and support.

2.7 Important Factors for Relationship Project Success

Private-Public relations (PPR) projects are plagued by a lack of financing since they make up 15% and 18%, respectively, of the expenditures associated with building infrastructure in the UK and Australia (Emst et al. 2005).

According to Edwards et al. (2005), Public-Private Relationships (PPR) in the UK development sector had the following essential success factors: strong project management, project completion capacity, assurances from the government, excellent mortgage conditions, and an accessible financial market. In response to (Ismail et al., 2011). Pakistan's fundamental success characteristics are strong management, wise allocation, and a legitimately positive system.

In Pakistan, strategy pressure, the hiring process, and unsuitable workers are considered to be the essential components of low-cost Private-Public Relationship (PPR) housing projects, whereas negative results, struggles for power and status, divisions, hierarchical conflicts, and difficulties integrating different values and social systems are obstacles to an efficient Private-Public Relationship (PPR). In Pakistan, fair investing, a stable political environment, and reliable technologists are the success criteria that impact Private-Public Relationship (PPR) projects (Muhammad et al., 2018; Iqbal et al, 2022 & Iqbal et al., 2023).

Tanhang et al. (2018) believe that the achievement influences of a Private-Public Relationship (PPR) development should specifically happen through three goals: fluctuating goals, planning and development phases of projects, and fulfillment of partners which can be measured towards the conclusion of the reduction agreement, guarantee advantage to the entire business, and public turn of events.

2.8 Private-Public Relationship Housing Development Productivity

A productivity estimate is a measure-to-measure management or project delivery success in an organization. It is a technique for identifying discrepancies between the real return and the required return based on assumptions. Productivity estimates are sometimes called significant performance milestones (Li, 2016).

According to Oyigbo et al. (2017), key production indicators are clear outcomes or portions of productivity estimations that indicate the direction in which a target can be reached. Measures of productivity indicate the concessionaire's expectations of a wide range of outcomes. A few examples of key productivity indicators include objectives, benchmarks, results, dates, numbers, rates, fluctuations, dispersion, rates, time, cost, records, proportions, review information, and report information.

The effective and clear approach to ensuring the practicability of the Private-Public Relationship (PPR) project is to estimate its productivity from the perspective of its life cycle, with agreement from the stakeholder's board. These partners include designers, contractors, adjacent communities, and future partners who have been identified to ensure their commitment to the project's financial, ecological, and excellent presentation over its whole life cycle (Liang et al., 2019).

Additionally, an expansion of the firm that offers financial services was analyzed based on a technique of project appraisal and productivity estimation. The success of any endeavor is largely determined by the efficiency and success of the directors, as they are assessed as part of the undertaking evaluation process. Viability and value are also considered when evaluating project performance and productivity.

2.9 Conceptual Framework

The study aims to conclude how house financing accessibility (HFA) and mortgage financing conditions (MFC) impact the productivity of private-public relationships (PPR) housing projects in Pakistan's Bahawalpur province. Therefore, according to the reasonable support subtracted from the investigation of the first papers, mortgage financing conditions (MFC) (Ali et al., 2013; Campbell, 2012; Buddy et al., 2014) and house financing accessibility (HFA) (Ajayi et al., 2016; Gibb, 2011; Berry et al., 2011; Phang, 2010 and Tagliaro, 2014). House financing accessibility (HFA) and mortgage financing conditions (MFC) are then considered as independent variables of the investigation. On the contrary, the review is conceptualized as a dependent variable on the productivity of Private-Public Relationships (PPR) housing projects. The relationships between exploration and development are shown in a conceptual framework.



Figure 2: Conceptual Framework

#### 3. Research Methodology

#### 3.1 Size of Sampling

This is the most crucial aspect of any experimental investigation whose goal is to make recommendations. It controls the guarantee of selecting some from the whole population. To achieve this goal, the demonstration size of the Private-Public Relationships (PPR) houses was determined using the Krijcie et al. (1970) table of test measurement assurance. A team consisting of two (3) builders and two (2) agreement Islamic banks was selected for an analysis of private design and mortgage Islamic banks.

3.2 Data Collection and Instruments

To collect statistics from the respondents, this study used a questionnaire.

#### 3.3 Study Method of Data Presentation

Using both descriptive and inferential indicators, the acquired data were examined. Using Pearson correlations and multiple regression analyses, the objective attempted to determine the impact of acceptance to house financing accessibility (HFA) and level of mortgage financing conditions (MFC) on the productivity of private-public relationships (PPR) in housing structures in Minna was analyzed.

## 4. Results and discussion

In the present study, we will examine 700 housing units available for purchase in three different locations within the city. As shown in the table, there are 278 participants

in this case. There are 700 questionnaires, but 307 questionnaires have been generated to fill in any gaps. Table 1 below shows them.

Table 1: Management Questionnaire

Explanation	Measure	Percentage %
No. of distributed questionnaire	307	100
No. of returned and used filled questionnaire	278	90.91
No. of not returned questionnaire	15	7.01

4.1 Impact House Financing Accessibility and Mortgage Financing Conditions on PPR Housing Project

Specifically, the study examined how housing financing accessibility (HFA) and mortgage financing conditions (MFC) influenced private-public housing relationships (RRP). As a measure of PPR housing productivity, the test used annual repayment as a link to agreement finances. It also used the percentage of paid agreement charges as a measure of construction at a reasonable level. It also used the number of units acquired.

Table 2:	Summing-up	Multiple	Regression	Model

Model	R	R-Sq	Ad.Sq-R	Std. error Esti	f	Prob
1	8.8	63	.59	45.1	10.7	.002

The overall impact of the model used for the analysis is shown in Table 2. The results showed that variables related to house financing accessibility (HFA) and mortgage financing conditions (MFC) may indicate 63% of the variation in PPR housing productivity. Finally, mortgage financing conditions (MFC) have a significant 63% impact on PPR housing project productivity. At a p-value of 0.002, the whole significance of the demonstration as shown by the F-measurements is actually significant, but at 0.05, it is not quite accurate. This shows the model's ability to predict the impact of housing financing accessibility (HFA) and mortgage financing conditions (MFC) on PPR productivity as long as the project's proposed location is maintained.

**Table 3:** Multiple Regression Coefficient Findings

Model	Unstandardized Coefficient		Standardized Coefficient	Т	Prob
	В	Error. Std	beta		
(Constant)	364562	224030	-	1.325	0.002
HF- Accessibility	97.5	.908	842	1.087	0.040
MF- Conditions	8.08	.335	053	2.116	0.004

The findings of the regression analysis, which is displayed in Table 3, demonstrate that mortgage financing conditions (MFC) and house financing accessibility (HFA) have a significant impact on the productivity of PPR housing projects, with an adjustment to HFA resulting in an increase in productivity of 98.6%. Additionally, there is a 70.8% inverse link between PPR housing project production and mortgage financing conditions (MFC). For the conclusions of this study, it is important to consider the findings of the supporting studies by Bauxbaim et al. (2009), Chuma et al. (2017), and Muhammad et al. (2018), which included one positive and one negative beta worth in their analysis and the result was irrelevant to the above-suggested worth of 0.000. The results of Wall et al. (2003); Oyigbo et al. (2017), which showed a positive impact of house financing accessibility (HFA) on PPR housing projects, are compared to this article.

According to this study, a link between mortgage financing conditions (MFC) and house financing accessibility (HFA) determines 63% of the variation in PPR housing productivity. As a result, the impact of house financing accessibility (HFA) and mortgage financing conditions (MFC) on PPR housing productivity is significant (63%). As a result, this demonstrates that PPR housing projects' productivity depends on their accessibility level. This is impacted by pay level as well as

mortgage Meezan backing choices included in financing on term developed, agreement aggregate, and reimbursement term.

## 5. Conclusion

This research concludes that the productivity of private-public relationships (PPR) as a real housing project tool is directly related to housing financing accessibility (HFA) and oppositely tied to mortgage financing conditions (MFC). As a result, the review determining the impact of housing financing accessibility (HFA) and mortgage financing conditions (MFC) on PPR housing project productivity showed that these variables have a 63% significant impact on PPR housing projects in the analyzed location.

With the objective that any change in respondents' accessibility level will result in a 97.5% positive change in the productivity of PPR housing projects and residence mortgage financing conditions, which is contributing to an increase of 8.8%, the study also identifies both positive and negative regression beta of value coefficients where accessibility level and mortgage financing conditions significantly impact the productivity of PPR housing projects.

#### 5.1 Recommendations

Study findings and structural problems suggest that the under-recorded suggestions could work as a strategy to increase productivity in PPR housing projects in Bahawalpur and throughout Pakistan. There are:

-The government should create positive incentives for PPR open-housing projects, depending on accessible housing unit design.

-The public approach should also help extend the present PPR legal framework to take into account the current real circumstances. It should also help regulate unacceptable behavior and attitudes of funders and innovators.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, writing and editing, Muhammad Saeed Iqbal; Original draft preparation, Sofi Mohd Fikri ,Asma Imtiaz and Hassan Dauda Yahaya.

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare no conflict of interest."

## REFERENCES

Abdullahi (2017). The role of mortgage financing in Public-Private Partnership (PPP) Housing Delivery in Niger State, Nigeria, PGD Project. Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, 2017.

ADB, (2018). Asian Development Bank, Public Private Partnerships Guidance note on Procurement. No TIM 189410-2. Ajayi, O., Ajayi, O., Akinsiku, O., & Osunsanmi, T. (2016). Strategies for housing affordability in Nigeria. Journal of Construction Project Management and Innovation, *6*, 1620–1632.

Albarto (2019), Setting the context; Mexico Housing Finance International, September.

Ali, R., Ismail, S., & Bakri, M. H. (2013). A Comparative Analysis of Conventional and Shari'ah for Residential Mortgage-backed Securities. Procedia Economics and Finance, 7(Icebr), 116–125. http://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(13)00225-6.

Alter C. and Hage, (1993). Organization working together. New buy park calif: Sage publications.

Amann, W. (2010). New Policies to Facilitate Affordable Housing in Central and Eastern Europe. Acta Polytechnica, 50(1), 53–56.

Bolaji, S. (2017). Public Private Partnership (PPP) for Housing Delivery to the Low-Income Civil Servants in Abuja, Nigeria. Journal of Varna University of Economics, 61(2), 144–157.

CAHF (2019). Economic of Housing in Nigeria, Addressing Housing Demand in the face of Growing Joblessness and Income Inequality; A paper presented at Annual Abuja Housing show and Conference, Abuja, Nigeria.

Campbell, J. Y. (2012). Mortgage Market Design. Review of Finance, 17(1), 1–33. http://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfs030.

Carol P. and Steane P, (2000), 'Public Private Partnerships: Sectorial Perspectives. In S.P.

CBN, (1999), Overview of the Financial Sector on the eve of Central Bank of Nigeria.

CBN, (2017), Nigerian Housing Financing Program: A paper Presented by Director of other Financial Institutions Supervision Department, at Abuja International Housing Show 15th July 2017.

The Canadian Council of Public-Private Partnership, (2001), Benefits of Water Services the Canadian council of Public Private Partnerships.

Claudettie & Sirman, (2006), Mortgage Finance as solution to Housing Problems, Procure Intelligent interesting, Vol. 1, Pp 12 – 27 November 27, 2006.

Clauretie and Webb, (1993), The Theory and Practice of Real Estate Finance" The Drydeen U.S.A.

Collins et-all (2009), Journal of Policy Analysis and Management. Vol. 30, No 2, 216-232, Association of Policy Analysis and Management.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approach (4th ed.).

Cummings and DiPasquak (1997), A Primer on The Secondary Mortgage Market City Research, Boston MA.

Daramola (2004), Planning Policies and Affordable Housing at Nigeria, an Analysis of Abuja Master Plan.

Scheme and the Revolution of Certificate of Occupancy, Housing Studies Association Conference 9th- 10th Sept. Bettest. UK.

Daramola Et-Al (2007) Public Private Partnership and Housing Delivery in Nigeria Lagos State and conference Abuja. Deng Y. Zhang D. Ling, C., (2004), An Early Assessment of Residential Mortgage Performance in China.

Dvir D. et-al (2003), An Empirical Analysis of the Relationship Between Project Planning and Project Success "International Journal of Project Management.

Edward P. and Shaoul J. (2003), Partnerships: for better for worse" Accounting, Auditing.

Ehegbe N. (2017), Filling Nigerian's infrastructure gap, by NICRE Media and Publicity Unit News.

Ernst and Young (2005), Australian Public Private Partnerships Survey Issues Facing. The Australian Public Private Partnership Market.

Evans et-al, (2007), The complete Real Estate Encyclopedia; Mc Graw Hill, New York, ISBN -13,978-47- 147638-6, ISBN-10:0407-147 638-5 Publication.

EY, (2015), Public Private Partnerships and the Global Infrastructure challenge: How Public Private Partnerships Can Help Government Close the "Gap" Amid Financial limitation.

World Bank, (2018), The World Bank report 2018 report No MDISDC, 23618

FGN., (2013); Mid Term Report of the Transportation Agenda, Abuja, Federal Government of Nigeria

Ferguson B (1999), "Process Policy and Public Private Partnerships in Housing in Developing Countries: what can the United States learn? Paper presented at the Housing policy in the new millennium.

Frankly A. et-al (2000), Financial systems in Europe, the USA, and Asia, Oxford Review of Economic Policy, Vol. 20, no4, 2004, Oxford university Press and the oxford. Review of Economic Policy Limited 2004.

Frauser D.R, Gup B.E and Kolari, (1995), Commercial Banking: The Management Risk "West Publishing Company, Tim Metropolis, St Paul.

Gandhi, S. (2012). Economics of Affordable Housing in Indian Cities: The Case of Mumbai. Environment and Urbanization ASIA, 3(1), 221–235. http://doi.org/10.1177/097542531200300112.

Gibb, K. (2011). Delivering new affordable housing in the age of austerity: housing policy in Scotland. International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis, 4(4), 357–368. http://doi.org/10.1108/1753827111172157.

Goal II of SDG: Sustainable Development Goals (SDGS) and the 2030 Agenda Available at www.world bank.org.

Goussard H. (2015), Managing Nigerians huge infrastructure funding gap. Global construction Overview.

Grimsey, D. and Levis M. K., (2004), PPPS. The Worldwide Revolution in Infrastructure Provision and Project financial, Cheltenham, U.K! Edward Elgar Publishing limited.

Helm D. et-al (2009), Delivering a 21st Century Infrastructure for Britain Policy Exchange, London.

Hodge G. A. & Greve (2017), Public Private Partnership. An International Review Public Administration. Review PP 545-558.

Ibem, E. O. (2011). Public-Private Partnership (PPP) in Housing Provision in Lagos Megacity Region, Nigeria. International Journal of Housing Policy, 11(2), 133–154. http://doi.org/10.1080/14616718.2011.573204.

Ibem, E. O., & Aduwo, E. B. (2012). Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) in Urban Housing in Nigeria: Evidence from Ogun State. International Journal of Architecture and Urban Development, 2, 5–14.

Iqbal, M. S. ., Fikri, S. M. ., & Ahmi, A. . (2023). Relationship Between Musharakah, Credit Risk, and Profitability in Pakistan's Islamic Banks. Journal of Applied Economics and Business Studies, 7(3), 75-91. https://doi.org/10.34260/jaebs.735.

Iqbal, M. S., & Fikri, S. M. (2023). Comparison of Credit Risk Management Practices among Islamic and Public Commercial Bank's in Pakistan. International Journal of Management Research and Emerging Sciences, 13(3). https://doi.org/10.56536/ijmres.v13i3.509.

Iqbal, M. S., & Fikri, S. M. (2023). The Determinants of Growth in Banking Sector: Time Series Analysis of Conventional And Islamic Banking In Pakistan. Islamic Banking and Finance Review, 10(1), 51-67. https://doi.org/10.32350/ibfr.101.03.

Iqbal, M. S., & Sofi, M. F. B. (2022). Analyzing the impact of oil prices on commodity future listed on Pakistan mercantile exchange (PMEX) in the era of Covid-19. South Asian Review of Business and Administrative Studies (SABAS), 4(2), 103-112. https://doi.org/10.52461/sabas.v4i2.1513.

Ismail, S. Azimi F. Thrasany R. (2012), Selection criteria for Islamic home financing in Malaysia. International journal of business and society vol.15. No.1 2014, 97-110.

Jiya, M. B., Shaibu, S. I., Jaya, M. E., & Abdullahi, I. (2018). Analysis of public and private - sectors partnership (ppp) in housing delivery in Niger state, Nigeria. Assumption University-Journal of Interdisciplinary Research (AU-EJIR), 3(2), 119–128.

Koplan R. S. Norton PP (1992), The balanced scorecard – Measures that divot performance" Heorrand Business Review, January – February, PP 7199.

Kuma Shien (2015), Assessing the Challenges of Access to Housing Finance in the North Central States of Nigeria vol. 8, 10.4314, Ethiopian Journal of Environmental studies and Management.

Kutuma, N. (2017). Affordable Housing Public Private Partnerships: A Case Study of International Housing Solutions. University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

Lea, (2000), International Comparison of Mortgage Products Offerings, Research Institute for Housing American and Mortgage Bankers. Association, September 2010 Special report.

Lea, M. (2000), The role of the primary mortgage market in the development of a successful secondary markets. Inter-American Development Bank, Washington DC.

Lee Ann Obringer & Dave Roos 2017, "How mortgage work" 8th October 2002. Available @HowStuffWorks.comahttp\\home.HowstuffworksCom/real estate/buying/mortgage.htm date.

Li. B, et-al, (2005), Critical Success Factors for PPP/PFI Projects in the UK Construction Industry. Construction Management and Economics, Vol. 23 Issue 5, Pp 439-471.

Li, H., (2006), Emergence of Chinese Middle Class and its implications; Asian Affairs; American Review.

Liang and Wang (2019), Sustainable Performance Measurement for Public Private Partnership Projects: Empirical Evidence from China, MDPI System Ability, July 2019.

Liu, T., Chan, A., & Wang, S. (2014). PPP Framework for Public Rental Housing Projects in China. In ICCREM 2014: Smart Construction and Management in the Context of New Technology © ASCE 2014 (pp. 573–581).

Lopez-Silva et-al (2011), Housing Finance in Mexico current state and future system ability, Inter-American Development Bank, Department of Research and Chief Economist, Technical Notes NoIDB-TN-28)

Maclennan et-al, (2000), Asymmetries in Housing and financial market institutions and EMU; in T.Jenkinson (e d.) Readings in Macroeconomics Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Matteo, 1.et-al (2008), The credit channel of Manatong Policy: Evidence from Housing Market, Journal Macroeconomics 30 (2003), 69-96.

McCord, M., McGreal, S., Berry, J., Haran, M., & Davis, P. (2011). The implications of mortgage finance on housing market affordability. International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis, 4(4), 394–417. http://doi.org/10.1108/17538271111172175.

Memba, F. (2015), Factors Influencing Performance of Mortgage Financing among Commercial Banks in Kiisu Town, Kenya. International Journal of Economics/ Commercial Management. United Kingdom, Vol. III, issue 3, March 2015, ISSN 23480386.

Mishkin F.S and Eakans S.G (2009), Financial markets and Institution Pearson Education Inc., Sixth Edition, Boston, Massaclusetts.

Muhammad, Zayyanu & Toher, Fouzia (2018), Critical Success factors of Public Private Partnership projects a comparative analysis of the housing sector between Malaysia and Nigeria. International journal of construction management 13.10.1080/15623599-2017.1423163.

Murphy, L. (2014). ' Houston , we ' ve got a problem ': The Political Construction of a Housing Affordability Metric in New Zealand. Housing Studies, 29(7), 893–909.

NDIC,.( 2015). Guardian Newspaper: Published by Verma (2012), Regulation 30th June, 2014 of Specialized NHP 2012, National Housing Policy, Federal Executive Council 20th sure, 2012, Federal Ministry of Chima & Lands, Housing and urban Development, Abuja.

Ogbuefi V. U. and Adesanmi M. A. (2004), The effect of Macro Economic Factors on Housing Investment, The Estate Surveyor and Valuer Vol 27, No.1 March 2004.

Oyigbo, T. E.and Ugwu, O. O., (2017), Appraisal of Key Performance Indicators on Road Infrastructure Financed by Public Private Partnership in Nigeria, Nigeria Journal of Technology, Vol. 36, No. 4, October 2017, Pp 1049 – 1058.

Ogumba O. A. (2013), Principles and Practice of Property Valuation in Nigeria, Atlantis Books P. O. Box 9625, University of Ibadan, Post office, Ibadan, Nig.

Ogunyemi C. O., (2017), Strategies Mortgage Executive Need to Prequalify Mortgage Loan Applicant, Walden Dissertation and Doctoral Studies Collections.

Ojebode, A. J. (2016), Public Private Partnership(PPP) as a Mechanism for the Provision of Affordable Housing Delivery in Nigeria. Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Brighton.

Ojo & Ighalo, 2008, Factors Affecting Balance's choice of housing Loan Package in Southwest Nigeria Housing Finance. International Remember, 2008.

Ojo, (2006), Primary Mortgage Market Pre-requisites for Sustainable Secondary Mortgage Market Development in Nigeria. Being Paper Presented at the Mortgage Banking Association of Nigeria CEO Retreat held on 17-19 November 2006 at Transcorp Hilton, Abuja.

Okpala, (1994), Financing Housing in Developing Countries; A Review of the Pitfalls and potentials in the Development of formal Housing finance system, Urban studies Vol.; 31, No 9 (November 2, 1994) PP 1591 – 1586.

Olanrele, O. O., Adegunle, T. O., Jalaoso, B. A., & Said, R. Bin. (2019). Social Housing and REIT Funding for Affordable Housing in Africa Developing Nations. In The Bartlett School of Construction and Project Management International Conference Bartlett Real Estate Institute.

Order (2000), The Structure and Evolution of American secondary mortgage markets with some implications for Developing markets.

Osborne (2000), Public Private Partnerships: Theory and Practice in International perspective (pp. 36-56) New- York: Routledge.

Pal, S., & Hossain, M. S. (2014). Innovations of Housing Finance Systems and the Implication in Bangladesh – A Categorical Study on Financial Markets. American Journal of Trade and Policy, 1(1), 32. http://doi.org/10.15590/ajtp/2014/v1i1/54052.

Phang, S.-Y. (2010). Affordable homeownership policy: Implications for housing markets. International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis, 3(1), 38–52. http://doi.org/10.1108/17538271011027069.

Rust, K., (2013), Housing Finance Internal Water 2012, African Union of Housing Finance, Annual Conference, ooh, No. PP 6.

Sani, M., Sani, A., & Ahmed, S. U. (2018). Public private partnership housing projects implementation in Bauchi state. International Journal of Research in Engineering and Innovation, 2(1), 93–96.

Shaqra, E. A. A., Badarulzaman, N., & Roosli, R. (2015). Residents ' Perception of the Affordability of Private Housing Schemes : Lessons from Aden, Yemen. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, (December 2014), 389–399. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.08.243.

Shehar A.J. et-al, (2001), Project Success: A Multidimensional Strategic Concept Long range planning Vol.34, No.6 PP 699-725,2001.

Sobuza, Y. (2010). "Social housing in South Africa: are public private partnerships (PPP) a solution? University of Pretoria.

Tagliaro, C. (2014). S.O.S. Social or sustainable? In 40th IAHS World Congress on Housing.

Timothy Blackwood & Sebastain Kohl, (2018), Working Paper, Varieties in Housing Finance in Historical Perspective. The impact of Mortgage Finance Systems on Urban Structure and Home Ownership, Discussion No 17/2.

Trangkanont, S., & Charoenngam, C. (2014). Private partner's risk response in PPP low-cost housing projects. Property Management, 32(1), 67–94. http://doi.org/10.1108/PM-02-2013-0008.

Trimbath & Mantage (2002), Housing Affordability in Policy by three dimensions. Price, income, and Interest rate, Milken Institute, Number 31, September 2002, 1250, fourth street, Sauka Marica collfonal 90401.

Tsai, I., & Peng, C. (2012). A panel data analysis for housing affordability in Taiwan. Journal of Economic Finance, 36, 335–350. http://doi.org/10.1007/s12197-009-9119-x.

UN-Habitat, (2008), State of African Cities 2008, Framework for Addressing Urban Challenges in Africa, Nairobi, Kenya.

UN-Habitat, (2002), The Report of the first Session of the World Urban Forum, Nairobi.

Kenya. U.S.DOT., (2012), Financial Structuring of Public Private Partnerships (P3) US Department of Transport.

WBG (2018), Independent Evaluation Group, 181811 Street, NW Washington DC, 20453 IEG@ worldbank.org.

Wilson, W and Barton, C.(2019), What is Affordable housing, Briefing Paper,, Number 07747, 23rd December 2019, House of Commons Library.

Word Bank, (2009), Attracting Coveters to African PPP: A project preparation guide. New York World Bank www. Supply psychology. Org refreved on 29/9/19 www.gov.Uk referred 6th September 2019

Yahang & Hougu S. (2018), Advance in Civil Engineering Volume 2018. Article ND 95 764962 10pages.