IUB Journal of Social Sciences
https://journals.iub.edu.pk/index.php/jss
Vol. 1, No. 2, December 2019, Pages: 26-34

Impact of Perceived Competence and Academic Self efficacy on the Academic Major Satisfaction among University Students

Ameena Dua[1], Saadia Zia[2], Umer bin Rafique[3] and Farah Kanwal[4]

Abstract

The study aims to explore the impact of Perceived Competence and Academic Self efficacy on the Academic Major Satisfaction of university students. The data was collected from university students in Multan using a simple random sampling approach with a sample size of 110 individuals. The data was collected through survey. Three scales were used: Perceived competence Scale (subscale of the BMPN (Sheldon & Hilpert, 2012), Academic Self efficacy Scale (Larson, et al., 1994) and Academic major Satisfaction Scale (AMSS; Nauta, 2007) to find the impact of Perceived competence and Academic Self efficacy on the Academic major satisfaction. Statistical analysis was conducted through SPSS and the results indicated that Perceived competence has positive correlation with academic self-efficacy on academic major satisfaction and a significant impact of Perceived Competence and Academic Self efficacy on the Academic Major Satisfaction of university student's area of this study was restricted to Multan. There was a time limitation. Future researches can include a large number of participants and also cover large research area for generalize ability. This study is significant in determining the Academic Performance of university students in the social framework of Pakistani society.

Keywords: Perceived competence, Self-efficacy, Major Satisfaction.

1 Introduction

It is a subjective assessment of one's capacity to function well in a certain field (Ames & Ames, 1984). According to various theoretical views that predict achievement-related outcomes, perceived competence is likewise a crucial component. They found that students' self-perceived abilities predicted their choice of assignments as well as their mathematics and English grades (Marsh &Yeung, 1998). Also included in the 68-behavior adaptation process that influences task difficulty is perceived competence, which is defined as the perceived skills one has over one task (Rudin, Brown & Jamson, 2013). They are both cognitive 70 constructs of highest relevance for modelling the behavior of road users because they are interdependent with behavior adaptability.

In Bandura's social cognitive theory, self-efficacy expectations are at the core. Belief in the power to organize actions to accomplish personal goals. The ability to influence events in one's life relies on this resource (Wood &Bandura, 1989). As a matter of fact, self-efficacy is regarded a potent motivational, cognitive, and emotional driver of student behavior. It has a substantial impact on student participation, effort, perseverance, self-regulation, and

1 M.Phil. Scholar, The Department of Psychology, Institute of Southern Punjab, Pakistan. Email: ameenadua@gmail.com

2 Lecturer, The Department of Psychology, Institute of Southern Punjab, Pakistan. Email: Ziasaadia9@gmail.com

3 BS Student, The Department of Psychology, Institute of Southern Punjab, Pakistan. Email: gumer7113@gmail.com

4 PHD Scholar, The Department of Applied Psychology, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan. Email: farahkanwal17@gmail.com

accomplishment (Schunk & Pajares, 2010;Honicke&Broadbent, 2016; Ritchie, 2016; Zumbrunn et al., 2019). All these factors make self-efficacy a key variable in managing stress, and it protects against the effects of university-related stresses (Bandura et al., 2003; Sahin & Çetin, 2017; Lanin et al., 2019; Freire et al., 2019; Schönfeld et al., 2019).

However, despite the fact that self-efficacy is typically thought of as an expectation tied to a specific job or scenario, many research have shown that there is a more generic conviction in perceived ability in the face of diverse demands (Scholz et al., 2002; Feldman et al., 2015; Volz et al., 2019).According to this theory, a person's confidence in his or her academic talents and abilities predetermines subsequent motivation and sentiments through a self-regulating process (Bandura 1986; Pajares & Miller, 1994; Schunk& Zimmermann, 1997).

Self-efficacy and academic success in general (Stajkovic & Luthans, 1998; Moritz et al. 2000; Multon, Brown, & Lent, 1991) have received more empirical attention than the mechanism through which academic self-efficacy leads to perceived military capability. Self-efficacy in the classroom has been related to desirable student outcomes such as perseverance (Lent, Brown, &Larkin, 1986; Skinner, Wellborn, &Connell 1990), academic performance (Marsh & Yeung, 1997), and the adoption of achievement and task objectives (Marsh &Yeung, 1997; Bong 2001; Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2005).

Key factors of all forms of training procedures include perceived competence, and earlier research in a military framework has established a reasonable match between self-reported military competence and the proof of military personnel effort and skill (Adler, Thomas, & Castro, 2005). Therefore, assessment of military competence as a performance measure is regarded in military education as a key objective. Military soldiers with superior psychological capabilities performed better on physical assessments than troops with weaker psychological credentials, according to Stryker research (Hammermeister et al. 2010). However, there appears to be a dearth of study into perceived military skill. As a result, further study is needed into psychological characteristics influencing perceived military skills.

Information is a dynamic term that includes knowledge, skill and attitudes which allow healthcare practices that are safe and effective (Verma, Paterson, & Medves, 2006). Primary health practitioners' ability to take use of video nutrition is uncertain. There is evidence of small changes in patient diets as well as the management of chronic diseases after the provision of nutritional treatment by several primary health providers like GPs, nutritionists and nurses (Ball, Johnson, Desbrow, & Leveritt, 2013). However, current meta-analysis by primary health professionals (including GPs, practice nurses, dieticians and nutritionists) of weight management interventions suggests that no long-term patient impacts are reported (Booth, Prevost, Wright, & Gulliford, 2014). In order to promote methods which, support best practice health care, it is crucial to realize the ability of primary health providers to offer nutritional care and elements that are safe and effective. Further research on the competence to provide nutritional care of primary health providers is thus required. It is difficult to measure the competencies of nutritionists in primary health providers. Direct competence measurement involves significant resources in the study of patient care and consequently the dietary behavior of the patient over time.

Self-efficiency is one of the most prevalent results in the evaluation of the SBL impacts, characterized as a future-oriented positive perspective that one feels he has the

knowledge, ability or competence to attain certain targets or objectives (Bandura, 1997). (Cant &Cooper, 2017; Cho, 2015; Moreland et al., 2012). A person who has high autonomy feels that barriers or problems may be overcome on the basis that knowledge and abilities are advanced. After comprehensive analysis of fourteen research, Cant and Cooper (2017) found that SBL had an influence on auto efficacy. By contrast, Stayt et al. (2015) have chosen 98 nursing students of the first year randomly from operation groups who have received SBL while a typical lecture from the control group has been given. The self-efficacy and self-reported competence of the two groups were not significantly differentiated. That might be due to the low generalizability of single small studies.

Academically auto-efficacy refers to the idea that a person can reach a defined standard in academic work or achieve a certain academic objective (conviction) (Bandura, 1997; Eccles & Wigfield, 2002; Elias & Loomis, 2002; Linenbrink & Pintrich, 2002; Schunk & Pajares, 2002). Scholarly autography is based on the notion of self-efficiency (Bandura, 1977). Self-efficiency is a 'confidence in the ability of individuals to plan and execute certain actions to solve a problem or to perform a task,' according to the idea of self-efficacy (Eccles & Wigfield, 2002). Self-effectiveness theory indicates that academic auto-effectiveness may differ in strength as some people may think they are more effective in tough activities while others can only do easy ones. Self-efficacy is also seen to be situation-based rather than a constant characteristic (Linenbrink & Pintrich, 2002). Students distinguish between self-effectiveness opinions across many fields of study, which together create a rough hierarchical, multidimensional structure. There are differences in the effectiveness of self-esteem and self-concept because it is an appraisal of work performance.

Research by Linenbrink and Pintrich (2003) showed that academic self-efficacy is strongly linked to the learning of students, cognitive commitment, analysis, academic commitment, strategy utilization, perseverance, the vulnerability to negative emotions and accomplishment. In the academia environment, youngsters are likely to have an important influence on their academic motivation, interest, and educational achievements in order to monitor their own education processes and results and become skilled in difficult subjects. Students who are sure in their organizational, operational, and regulatory capacity demonstrate strong self-efficacy in solving problems or performing tasks at their allocated level. Self-effectiveness is typically considered to be a multidimensional structure that differentiates across several functional areas.

In African and Western countries, student satisfaction with academics in the post-secondary environment has long been a matter for contention. Moro and Panades (2010) stated that their satisfaction is essential if students are seen as higher education customers. Data collected on satisfaction of students assist universities define their academic objectives. When defining these objectives, the student's outcome is crucial, which is the greatest approach to judge the quality of higher education institutions as well as their overall efficacy. Only by contentment can a better result be reached. Jamelske (2009) found that happy students are more likely than unsatisfied students to engage with and complete their studies, which are probably less ready to take part regularly and are more likely to leave the course. The degree to which students are happy with a range of academic concerns, such as consultation, quality of education, the availability of courses and the size of the classes was characterized in academic environments (Tessema, Ready & YU, 2012).

Kaldenberg, Browne and Brown (1998) showed that the happiness of the students in college is driven by an evaluation of the quality of the curriculum activity of the institution. Lecturers should be treated and assisted if required by students who are sensitive and

supportive. It is even loved to listen easily (Kayasta, 2011). Grossman (1999) said that students may be viewed as a customer or a customer inside school and the college would thus be more of a priority for students to meet their requirements. It was argued by Elliot and Healy (2001) that the student's satisfaction with the education service was a short-term attitude.

2 Materials and Method

2.1 Research Design and Participants

The current research is based on survey design. Participants were added through simple random sampling technique. Sample size was determined through G-power software a sample N=110 were selected.

2.2 Procedure

After all the ethical consideration, the participants were surveyed and ask to fill the questionnaire. Students were told about the purpose of the research and all other ethical guideline. The collected data was used for questionnaire analysis through SPSS 20. Correlation,regression, independent sample T-test, one way ANOVA were used for evaluating the results.

3 Instruments

3.1 Perceived Competence Scale

Competency perceived was measured using the BMPN competence (Sheldon & Hilpert, 2012). Six elements, three assessing whether the need for skills is satisfied (e.g., I've taken on and mastered challenging tasks) and three measuring whether the demand for skills is unmet) are part of the skills (e.g., I struggled doing something I should be good at). After negative textual elements have been returned, things might be averaged to increase perceptibility by way of a higher score.

3.2 Academic Self efficacy

Efficacy measure for academics (Larson, et al., 1994) four items is included in the academic self-efficacy measure. The scale was designed according to theory. The scores from 4 to 24 and higher scales showed that the academic self-efficiency is higher. Experts are asked, on a six-point type scale (1=strongly disagree, 2= disagree, 3=somewhat disagree, 4=somewhat agree, 5=agree, 6=strongly agree), to formally assess each item on its significance in assessing academic effectiveness.

3.3 Academic Major satisfaction

A single-dimensional measure of six questions from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), where higher scores indicate more satisfaction with a major. The scale for the major academic satisfaction (AMSS; Napa, 2007) is one-dimensional. Each factor is of a .5- or greater effect to predict which students remain in their undergraduate school compared to their graduate classes over a period of two years. Continuous estimates of validity indicate a positive connection between self-efficacy in career decisions ($r = .45$, $p < .001$). The divergent validity estimates suggest a negative connection between career choices and generally indeterminate career choices at –.50 and –.30 as respectively ($p < .001$).

4 Results, Findings and Discussion

The main objective of study was to speculate the impact of perceived competence and academic self-efficacy on the academic performance of university students. Initially

descriptive analysis of demographic variables was carried out along on current sample. Correlation analysis, Regression analysis, t-test analysis anode-way ANOVA was to run test study main hypothesis.

Table 1
Correlation analysis of perceived competence and academic self-efficacy on the academic major satisfaction of sample (N=110)

Scales	Perceived competence	Academic Self efficacy	Academic Major Satisfaction
Perceived competence	1	.317**	.197*
Academic Self efficacy		1	.278**
Academic Maj Satisfaction			1

Note: N=110 *p<=0.05, **p<=0.01

Table 1 illustrated that there is a significant positive correlation between perceived competence and academic self-efficacy on the academic major satisfaction.

Table 2
Regression analysis showing impact of Perceived Competence on Academic major satisfaction

Predictors	*B*	*Std. Error*	*Beta*	*T*	*P*
(Constant)	16.080	1.588		10.129	.000***
PC satisfaction	.331	.158	.197	2.093	.039

Note: R^2=.039, adjusted R^2= .30, (F = 4.382 p<0.05*)

Table 2 show the significant impact of PC satisfaction on Academic major satisfaction. Table 3 show the significant impact of Perceived Competence on Academic major satisfaction.

Table 4
Regression analysis showing impact of Academic self-efficacy on Academic major satisfaction

Predictors	*B*	*Std. Error*	*Beta*	*T*	*P*
(Constant)	14.938	1.499		9.967	.000***
Academic self-efficacy	.292	.097	.278	3.003	.003

Note: R^2=.77, adjusted R^2= .69, (F = 9.017 p<0.005**)

Table 4 show the significant impact of Academic self-efficacy on Academic major satisfaction.

Table 5
Mean, standard deviation and t-value of (gender) on Perceived Competence and Academic Self Efficacy on

the Academic Major Satisfaction of sample (N=110)

Scales	Gender	*N*	*M*	*SD*	*T*	*P*
Perceived competence	Male		10.34	2.056	2.611	.010**
	Female		9.30	2.121		
Academic Self efficacy	Male		15.96	3.322	2.885	.005**
	Female		14.14	3.297		
Academic major satisfaction	Male	53	20.02	3.538	1.969	.052
	Female	57	18.68	3.567		

N=110, df=108, *p<0.05, p>0.05

The results illustrated that there is a significant difference in terms of PC satisfaction & academic self-efficacy between Males & Females and there is no significant difference in term of Perceived competence& academic major satisfaction between Males & Females.

It was hypothesized that perceived competence and academic self-efficacy would have a positive association with academic major satisfaction among university students. The results confirm this prediction and show that perceived competence and academic self-efficacy have a favorable impact on academic major satisfaction among university students (Table 1).

The second hypothesis of this study was that PC satisfaction, PC discontent, and academic major satisfaction would have a beneficial influence on each other. The results confirm this prediction and show that Perceived competence have a substantial influence on academic major satisfaction (Table 2).

A favorable influence on academic major satisfaction was hypothesized in the third hypothesis of this study. There is a substantial influence of academic self-efficacy on academic major satisfaction, according to the results of the study (Table 3).

Perceived competence and academic self-efficacy were hypothesized to have different effects on academic major satisfaction based on a demographic variable (gender). According to the data, men and women differ significantly in terms of PC satisfaction and academic self-efficacy. Compared to girls, males report higher levels of PC satisfaction and academic self-efficacy. Between males and females, there is no substantial difference in Perceived competence or academic major satisfaction (Table 4).

The fifth hypothesis of this study was that there would be difference among Perceived competence and academic self-efficacy on academic major satisfaction of demographic variable (birth order). Between males and females, perceived competence, self-efficacy in the classroom, and happiness with academic major were shown to be non-significant (Table 5).

5 Conclusion

According to the study, perceived competence and academic self-efficacy have an

influence on academic major satisfaction. It is clear from the data that perceived competence and academic self-efficacy have a favorable impact on academic major satisfaction among university students. Academic major satisfaction is significantly influenced by perceived competence and academic self-efficacy. Results show that there is no gender difference between unhappiness with personal computing and academic self-efficacy. While in case of PC satisfaction and academic self-efficacy males show high level of PC satisfaction and academic self-efficacy then females. The result highlight that there is no difference between perceived competence and academic self-efficacy on academic major satisfaction in terms of (birth order).

References

Ames, C., & Ames, R. (1984). Research on motivation in education. Academic Press,

Ball, L., Johnson, C., Desbrow, B., & Leveritt, M. (2013). General practitioners can offer effective nutrition care to patients with lifestyle-related chronic disease. Journal of Primary Health Care, 5(1), 59-69.

Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundation of thought and action.

Bandura 1997; Chemens et al. 2001; Eastin and LaRose 2000; Khorrami-Arani 2001;

MaimunahIsmail et al. 2005; Tamara and Koufteros 2002

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological review, 84(2), 191.

Bandura, A. (2000). Self-efficacy: The foundation of agency. Control of human behavior, Mentalprocesses, and consciousness: Essays in honor of the 60th birthday of August Flammer, 16.

Bogler, R. (2001). The influence of leadership style on teacher job satisfaction. Educational Administration Quarterly, 37, 662–683.

Bong, M. (2001). Between-and within-domain relations of academic motivation among

middle and high school students: self-efficacy, task value, and achievement goals. Journal of educational psychology, 93(1), 23.

Booth, H. P., Prevost, T. A., Wright, A. J., &Gulliford, M. C. (2014). Effectiveness of behavioral weight loss interventions delivered in a primary care setting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Family practice, 31(6), 643-653.

Bronson, M. B., & Bronson, M. (2001). Self-regulation in early childhood: Nature and Nurture, Guilford press.

Browne, B. A., Kaldenberg, D. O., Browne, W. G., & Brown, D. J. (1998). Student as customer: Factors affecting satisfaction and assessments of institutional quality. Journal of marketing for higher education, 8(3), 1-14.

Cant, R. P., & Cooper, S. J. (2017). Use of simulation-based learning in undergraduate nurse education: An umbrella systematic review. Nurse education today, 49, 63-71.

Cho, H. Y. (2015). The effect of Simulation-based learning scenario using standardized respiratory patients on learning satisfaction, clinical skill competency and self-efficacy in Health-related department students. Journal of the Korea Academia-Industrial cooperation Society, 16(3), 2100-2108.

Elliott, K. M., & Shin, D. (2002). Student satisfaction: An alternative approach to assessing this important concept. Journal of Higher Education policy and management, 24(2), 197-209.

E. T. Canrinus, M. Helms-Lorenz, J. Buitink, & A. Hofman (2009). Self-efficacy, job satisfaction, motivation and commitment: exploring the relationships between indicators of teachers' professional identity, Higher Education, 57(3), 373-391.

Kayastha, N., Niyato, D., Wang, P., & Hossain, E. (2011). Applications, architectures, and protocol design issues for mobile social networks: A survey. Proceedings of the IEEE, 99(12), 2130-2158.

Lent, R. W., Brown, S. D., & Larkin, K. C. (1986). Self-efficacy in the prediction of academic performance and perceived career options. Journal of counseling psychology, 33(3), 265.

Linnenbrink, E. A., & Pintrich, P. R. (2002). The role of motivational beliefs in conceptual change. In Reconsidering conceptual change: Issues in theory and practice (pp. 115-135). Springer, Dordrecht.

Maehr, M. L. (1989) Thoughts about motivation, in C. Ames and R. Ames, eds., Research on Motivation in Education Vol. 3, Academic Press, New York

Moreland, S. S., Lemieux, M. L., & Myers, A. (2012). End-of-life care and the use of simulation in a baccalaureate nursing program. International Journal of Nursing Education Scholarship, 9(1).

Moro-Egido, A. I., & Panades, J. (2010). An analysis of student satisfaction: Full-time vs. part-time students. Social Indicators Research, 96(2), 363-378.

Nguni, S., Sleegers, P., & Denessen, E. (2006). Commitment, and organizational citizenship behavior in primary schools: The Tanzanian case. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 17, 145– 177.

Peterson, P. L., Baker, E., &McGaw, B. (2010). International encyclopedia of education. Elsevier Ltd.

Rudin-Brown, C., & Jamson, S. (Eds.). (2013). Behavioral adaptation and road safety: Theory evidence and action. CRC press.

Verma, S., Paterson, M., & Medves, J. (2006). Core competencies for health care Professionals: what medicine, nursing, occupational therapy, and physiotherapy share. Journal of allied health, 35(2), 109-115.

Wigfield, A., &Eccles, J. S. (2002). The development of competence beliefs, expectancies forsuccess, and achievement values from childhood through

adolescence. Development of achievement motivation, 91-120.

Skaalvik, S., & Skaalvik, E. M. (2005). Self-concept, motivational orientation, and help seeking behavior in mathematics: A study of adults returning to high school. Social Psychology of Education, 8(3), 285-302.

Stayt, L. C., Merriman, C., Ricketts, B., Morton, S., & Simpson, T. (2015). Recognizing and managing a deteriorating patient: a randomized controlled trial investigating the effectiveness of clinical simulation in improving clinical performance in undergraduate nursing students. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 71(11), 2563-2574.

van den Toren, S. J., van Grieken, A., Mulder, W. C., Vanneste, Y., Lugtenberg, M., de Kroon,M. L., ...& Raat, H. (2019). School absenteeism, Health-Related Quality of Life [HRQOL] and happiness among young adults aged 16–26 years. International journal of environmental research and public health, 16(18), 3321.

Wood, R., & Bandura, A. (1989). Impact of conceptions of ability on self-regulatory mechanisms and complex decision making. Journal of personality and social psychology, 56(3), 407.